

Application No. 09/926,296
Reply to Office Action of April 5, 2004
Request for Reconsideration

indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the indication of allowable subject matter.

In response to the rejection under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, submitted herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer which obviates the double patenting rejection. Accordingly, the double patenting rejection should be withdrawn. Thus, Claims 13-15, 17 and 21 are believed to be allowable.

Claims 16, 18-20 and 22 depend from Claim 13, which is believed to be allowable. Further, Claims 16, 18-20 and 22 were indicated as including allowable subject matter. Thus, Claims 16, 18-20 and 22 are also believed to be allowable.

Consequently, in view of the above discussion, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance and an early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Masayasu Mori
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 47,301

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/03)

MM:jm

I:\USER\MSMOR\IBIDEN\214810\214810_REQFORRECONSIDERATION2.DOC